This confirms that your 2015 Annual Report to ACCJC was submitted by Dr. Lori Gaskin <lgaskin@sbcc.edu> on 03/31/2015. Below is a copy of the information submitted. You may also re-print the report by logging on at https://www.accjc.org/annualreport.



Western Association of Schools and Colleges

Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges

2015 Annual Report Final Submission 03/31/2015

Santa Barbara City College 721 Cliff Drive Santa Barbara, CA 93109

General Information

#	Question	Answer
1.	Confirm logged into the correct institution's report	Confirmed
2.	Name of individual preparing report:	Melanie Rogers
3.	Phone number of person preparing report:	(805) 965-0581 x2807
4.	E-mail of person preparing report:	rogers@sbcc.edu
5a.	Provide the URL (link) from the college website to the section of the college catalog which states the accredited status with ACCJC:	http://www.sbcc.edu/catalog /2013_2014/02_Title%20Page.pdf
5b.	Provide the URL (link) from the college website to the colleges online statement of accredited status with ACCJC:	http://www.sbcc.edu /accreditation/
6.	Total unduplicated headcount enrollment:	Fall 2014: 23,374 Fall 2013: 22,926 Fall 2012: 26,003
7.	Total unduplicated headcount enrollment in degree applicable credit courses for fall 2014:	19,392
8.	Headcount enrollment in pre-collegiate credit courses (which do not count toward degree requirements) for fall 2014:	2,972
9.	Number of courses offered via distance education:	Fall 2014: 180 Fall 2013: 156 Fall 2012: 146
10.	Number of programs which may be completed via distance education:	15
11.	Total unduplicated headcount enrollment in all types of Distance Education:	Fall 2014: 6,686 Fall 2013: 5,960 Fall 2012: 5,489
12.	Total unduplicated headcount enrollment in all types of Correspondence Education:	Fall 2014: 0 Fall 2013: 0 Fall 2012: 0

	Were all correspondence courses for which students enrolled in fall 2014 part of a program	n/a
	which leads to an associate degree?	

Student Achievement Data

#	Question			Answer		
14a.	What is your Institution-set standard for successful student course completion?			74%		
14b.		ccessful student course completion rate for the fall 14 semester:	73%			
	me is c pro em nur	titution Set Standards for program completion: While asures for which they will set standards, most institut ore to their mission. For purposes of definition, certifi grams which qualify for financial aid, principally those ployment. Completion of degrees and certificates is to mbers. Each student who receives one or more certific or may be counted once.	ions will u cates incl which lead be prese	utilize this r ude those o ad to gainfo ented in ter	neasure as it certificate ul ms of total	
15.	a.	If you have an institution-set standard for student completion of degrees and certificates combined, pe what is it?	r year,	N/A		
	b.	If you have separate institution-set standards for de what is your institution-set standard for the number student completion of degrees, per year?		1199		
	c.	If you have separate institution-set standards for certificates, what is your institution-set standard for number of student completion of certificates, per ye		1036		
16a.		mber of students (unduplicated) who received a tificate or degree in the 2013-2014 academic year:	2,026			
16b.		mber of students who received a degree in the 13-2014 academic year:	1,395			
16c.		mber of students who received a certificate in the L3-2014 academic year:	1,094			
17a.	nur	our college has an institution-set standard for the nber of students who transfer each year to 4-year eges/universities, what is it?	1,437			
17b.		mber of students who transferred to 4-year eges/universities in 2013-2014:	1,390			
18a.		es the college have any certificate programs which not career-technical education (CTE) certificates?	Yes			
18b.	If y	es, please identify them:	LS-CSUG CSU GE I CS-COMI	Honors-Scie BEB-C Breadth Tra PSC-C er Science IS-C History	ences/Math ansfer	

					Hon	ors-Sciences/	/Mathema	tics
						CRWRGE-C ative Writing-	Gen Emp	h
					1	FITNESS-C Physical Fitne	ss Spec	
						RECTCH-C reation Techn	ician	
					1	GETC-C TC Transfer		
						HONHUM-C ors-Humaniti	es/Social	Sci
					1	CONSTR-C struction Tecl	hnology	
					1	GEOTCH-C science Techr	nology	
					1	HHHUMN-C nest Honor-Hu	um/Social	Sci
						BUADEN-C Ad-Entreprer	neurship	
						DEGCON-C ree Conversio	on Certific	ate
						GLOBST-C bal Studies		
						IARSCI-C ine Science		
					-	APPHOT-C lied Photo: Pl	notograph	Y
19a.	Number of career-tec certificates and degre		on (CTE)		206			
19b.	Number of CTE certificates and degrees which have identified technical and professional competencies that meet employment standards and other standards, including those for licensure and certification:			icies that	206			
19c.	Number of CTE certificates and degrees for which the institution has set a standard for licensure passage rates:				0			
19d.	Number of CTE certificates and degrees for which the institution has set a standard for graduate employment rates:			nich the	0			
	2011-2012 examinati examination in order				h stu	dents must p	ass a lice	nsure
			CIP Code			Institution set	Dage	
20.	Prog	ram	4 digits	Examinat	tion	set standard (%)	Pass Rate (%)	
	Alcohol and D Counseling		51.15	state		(%)	82 %	
	Counseiing							<u> </u>

					L		
		Associate Degre Program	ee Nursing	51.16	national	0	% 98 %
		Cancer Informa Management	tion	51.07	national	0	% 100 %
		Certified Nursin	g Assistant	51.16	national	0	% 97 %
		Cosmetology: P	ractical	12.04	state	0	% 93 %
		Cosmetology: V	Vritten	12.04	state	0	% 68 %
		Esthetician: Pra	ctical	12.04	state	0	% 95 %
		Esthetician: Wr	itten	12.04	state	0	% 88 %
		Emergency Med Technician	lical	51.09	national	0	% 79 %
		Health Informat Technology	tion	51.07	national	0	% 90 %
		HIT/Coding Cer	tificate	51.07	national	0	% 100 %
		Radiography		51.09	national	0	% 100 %
21.		2012 job placeme technology edu				Institution set standard (%)	Job Placement Rate (%)
	Please	list any other ins	tituion set s	tandards a	t vour collea	e:	
		Criteria Measured (i.e. persistence, starting salary, etc.)			nition		Institution set standard
		Completion of Degree,Percentage of degree, certificate and/or transfer-seeking students tracked for six years who completed a degree, certificate or transferTransfertransfer-related outcomes			63%		
		Persistence Percentage of degree, certificate and/or transfer-seeking students tracked for six years who enrolled in the first three consecutive terms				70%	
22.		Completion of 30 Units Percentage of degree, certificate and/or transfer-seeking students tracked for six years who achieved at least 30 units.			69%		
		Career Technical Education	who comple courses clas education (eted more t ssified as c or vocation ted a degre	s tracked for than eight ur areer technio hal) in a singl ee, certificato	nits in cal le discipline	56%
		Remedial Math	years who	started belo	tudents track ow transfer lo pleted a collo scipline.	evel in	40%
						1.6	
		Remedial English	years who	started belo completed	tudents track ow transfer lo l a college-le	evel in	53%

	years who started below transfer level in ESL and completed a college-level course in the same discipline.
	Effective practice to share with the field: Describe examples of effective and/or innovative practices at your college for setting institution-set standards, evaluating college or programmatic performance related to student achievement, and changes that have happened in response to analyzing college or program performance (1,250 character limit, approximately 250 words).
23.	We have recently created two very active committees with the express purpose of evaluating program performance and student achievement: the Program Evaluation Committee (PEC) and the Institutional Effectiveness Committee (IEC). The PEC is charged with creating a rubric for the evaluation of programs and their effectiveness in terms of student outcomes and achievement, and also with evaluating and improving the program review process itself. Three examples of change resulting from this analysis are (1) the move to a 3-year cycle of detailed readings and evaluation of program review submissions; (2) improvements in the prompts in the program review templates; (3) making data more readily available. The IEC focuses on analyzing student outcome and achievement data, prioritizes research projects, makes recommendations to the College Planning Council (CPC) based on these analyses, and fosters institution- wide dialog and ongoing assessment of the institution's effectiveness in support of student learning. In addition, the IEC is responsible for monitoring and reporting progress on the Educational Master Plan. A recent improvement arising out of the IEC is the consolidation and codification of the college's institution-set standards.

Student Learning Outcomes and Assessment

Note: Colleges were expected to achieve the proficiency level of Student Learning Outcomes assessment by fall 2012. At this time, colleges are expected to be in full compliance with the Accreditation Standards related to student learning outcomes and assessment. All courses, programs, and student and learning support activities of the college are expected to have student learning outcomes defined, so that ongoing assessment and other requirements of Accreditation Standards are met across the institution. In preparation for the 2016 reporting, please refer to the revised Accreditation Standards adopted June 2014.

#	Question			Answer
	Cou	rses		
	a.	Total number of college courses:		1217
24.	b.	Number of college courses with ongoing assessr learning outcomes	nent of	1129
		Auto-calculated field: percent	tage of total:	92.8
	Cou	rses		
	a.	Total number of college programs (all certificate degrees, and other programs as defined by colle		78
5.	b.	Number of college programs with ongoing asses learning outcomes	sment of	78
		Auto-calculated field: percent	tage of total:	100
	Cou	Courses		
6.	a.	Total number of student and learning support ac college has identified or grouped them for SLO	ctivities (as	23

	implementation):		
	b. Number of student and learning support activition ongoing assessment of learning outcomes:	es with 23	
	Auto-calculated field: percen	tage of total: 100	
27.	URL(s) from the college website where prospective students can find SLO assessment results for instructional programs:	http://www.sbcc.edu/prospective /PSLO_Assessment_Results.pdf	
28.	Number of courses identified as part of the general education (GE) program:	507	
29.	Percent of GE courses with ongoing assessment of GE learning outcomes:	100%	
30.	Do your institution's GE outcomes include all areas identified in the Accreditation Standards?	Yes	
31.	Number of GE courses with Student Learning Outcomes mapped to GE <i>program Student</i> <i>Learning Outcomes</i> :	507	
32.	Number of Institutional Student Learning Outcomes defined:	6	
33.	Percentage of college instructional programs and student and learning support activities which have Institutional Student Learning Outcomes mapped to those programs (courses) and activities (student and learning support activities).	100%	
34.	Percent of institutional outcomes (ILOs) with ongoing assessment of learning outcomes:		
35.	Effective practice to share with the field: Describe er your college for measuring ILOs, documenting accor- areas of the college, informing college faculty, staff, other aspects of your ILO practice (1,250 character We use course-based assessment, mapping scores are close to our grade distributions, and insight. During the 2014 winter interser- workgroup to use ISLO data to inform chan across disciplines. They made a series of re the project to the Committee on Teaching a Summer planning, CTL developed two Fall 2 Faculty Inquiry Groups (FIGs). The first, "M promoting our 6th ISLO (VI. Personal, Acad Development) across campus and in the con AAC&U Value Rubrics to measure student (of and in class surveys of students asking ther achievement SBCC's ISLOs. Each participan subordinated competencies. Most selected I Problem Solving, Creative Thinking). They p summarizing survey results and discussing faculty and student coming out of their wor They presented their results at Spring 2015 attendance to continue the work Spring sen FIG is in progress.	mplishment of ILOs in non-instructional students, and the public about ILOs, o limit, approximately 250 words). CSLOs to ISLOs. As our ILO we wanted more information ssion we established a ges in teaching and learning commendations and handed off and Learning. After Spring and 2014 pilots, both designed as ake Waves," was dedicated to lemic, and Career mmunity. The second used critical thinking) assignments m to assess their and college's at focused on one ISLO with its ISLO 1 ((I. Critical Thinking. produced final reports the recommendations for k regarding learning priorities. 5 In Service and invited those in	

Each of the following narrative responses is limited to 250 words. As you develop your responses, please be mindful of success stories that can be reported in the last question of this section. We look forward to including this information from colleges in our report to the Commission and the field in June.

36.	Please discuss alignment of student learning outcomes at your institution, from institutional and course to program level. Describe your activities beyond crosswalking or charting all outcomes to courses in a program (often called "mapping"), to analysis and implementation of alignment in the planning of curriculum and delivery of instruction. Discuss how the alignment effort has resulted in changes of expected outcomes and/or how students' programs of study have been clarified. Note whether the described practices apply to all instructional programs at the college (1,250 character limit, approximately 250 words). Alignment is built into the mapping process. Beyond mapping we have undertaken the project described in #35 above. In establishing CSLOs we achieved alignment, sequence, progression within department offerings. The dialogue associated with such decisions was among the most productive and inclusive we have had. It has affected curriculum, measurement, matriculation. The extended dialogue into which we have recently entered draws together many innovative initiatives designed to help students achieve a greater command of the academic skills needed for transfer and success in the workplace. Our intent is to change the way these skills are taught and reinforced across all disciplines and services. We are working to revise our ISLOs so that they will be more readily understood by students. We are expanding their number so that we are more inclusive, to achieve equity, involves faculty, students, staff, counselors, and administration. We will be devoting the next two years to the process of integrating the teaching of these essential skills to engage all students in all classes, in all counseling sessions, in all tutorial and other interactions between students and SBCC personnel to promote their achievement.
37.	Describe the various communication strategies at your college to share SLO assessment results for usage by internal and external audiences. Explain how communications take into account how the information is expected to influence the behavior or decisions of particular audiences. Discuss how communication of student learning outcomes assessment information and results impacts student behavior and achievement (1,250 character limit, approximately 250 words).
38.	Explain how dialog and reporting of SLO assessment results takes place at the departmental and institutional levels. Note whether practices involve all programs at the college. Illustrate how dialog and reporting impact program review, institutional planning, resource allocation, and institutional effectiveness (1,250 character limit, approximately 250 words). SLO cycle is aligned with 3-year Program Review cycle. Assessment of PSLO achievement is integrated into Program Review. We use CSLO scores and faculty comments assessing students' greatest needs- the causes of difficulties in the areas where they do not make as much progress as expected- and proposed solutions for better ways to get students past

	certain obstacles. Results are used during department discussions to inform Course Improvement Plans (CIPs) for each class. New CIPs are written at minimum every 3 years. Data and comments are collected in all classes from faculty regularly during that 3-year period, which fuel the dialog and shape the changes made each cycle in instruction and in curriculum. At the institutional level, the senate and administration are in constant dialog about ISLO achievement, about improving process, and collecting meaningful information. The college created an Institutional Effectiveness Committee to facilitate dialogue, collect and use data, and make recommendations for resource allocation. SBCC has also established the SLO Coordinating Committee and an attendant workgroup to oversee SLO informed budgeting and curriculum development. The EVP chairs this committee and is directly involved in planning, assessment, and resource allocation, insuring administrative commitment to this process.
39.	Please share with us two or three success stories about the impacts of SLO practices on student learning, achievement, and institutional effectiveness. Describe the practices which led to the success (1,250 character limit, approximately 250 words). Dialog is the great value of the SLO process. ESL faculty, for example, met to discuss standards and progression across their 5 levels because of the SLO process. Before their coming together to write CSLOs, ESL teachers taught their sections without formally discussing standards or expectations. In developing CSLOs, they compared expectations and exit standards and discovered that some at lower levels were asking more of their students than those at higher levels, that some proposed SLOs were the same as those proposed two levels above their classes. The SLO dialog changed their curriculum, sequencing of instruction, and standards for each level. They also shared techniques, methodologies, and materials, which resulted in a much stronger program, more carefully scaffolded to help students achieve their goals. The continuous discussion of CIPs has led across all disciplines to ambitious restructuring of subject areas, integration of skills instruction across subjects, and demonstrates the desire of faculty to constantly improve instruction. The college has recently integrated Continuing Education into Educational Programs, which resulted in the development and aligning of robust CSLOs and PSLOs. The same constructive, program building results have been achieved by Non-Credit programs in ESL, Short Term Vocational and GED/HS.

Substantive Change Items

NOTE: These questions are for monitoring purposes only and do not replace the **ACCJC** substantive change approval process. Please refer to the Substantive Change Manual regarding communication with the Commission.

#	Question	Answer
40.	Number of submitted substantive change requests:	2013-14: 0 2012-13: 0 2011-12: 0
41a.	Is the institution anticipating a proposal for a substantive change in any of the following change categories? (Check all that apply)	No changes planned
41b.	Explain the change(s) for which you will be submitting a substantive change proposal:	N/A

#	Question	Answer
42a.	Identify site additions and deletions since the submission of the 2013 Annual Report:	N/A
42b.	List all instructional sites other than the home campus where 50% or more of a program, certificate, or degree is offered:	N/A
43.	List all of the institutions instructional sites out of state and outside the United States:	N/A

The data included in this report are certified as a complete and accurate representation of the reporting institution.

If you need additional assistance, please contact the commission.

Sincerely,

ACCJC 10 Commercial Blvd., Suite 204 Novato, CA 94949 email: support@accjc.org phone: 415-506-0234